

Question 1

I have no issues with the term limits or the change in the role of the Chairman. I do have issues with the decrease in representation enshrined in the change in number and proportion of elected directors.

Question 2

I am happy to accept Nominet's assurances that these changes are desirable, provided an increase in the number of appointed directors is accompanied by a pro rata increase in the number of elected directors.

Question 3

I oppose a decrease in the proportional presence of elected non-executive directors (non-execs); I wish for them to continue to make up 2/3 of the board. I have no objections to term limits as proposed.

Question 4

I have no problem with appointed non-execs provided the number of elected non-execs is increased to keep elected members making up 2/3 of the board.

Question 5

As regards the other proposals, I have no issues with most of them.

Regarding 3.2.4, as you keep pointing out, it's the members' job to remove directors from office. I'm happy that the board should be able easily to remove its appointed directors; removal of elected directors should remain the membership's job, although a policy for the board to put such a proposal to the membership without the overhead of an EGM might be a good idea.

Question 6

I have no issue with these proposals.

Question 7

I'm only happy with this as long as the board remains 2/3 elected.

Tom Yates
Gatekeeper Technology Ltd

